# Report of the thesis supervisory committee (CET)

# Candidate information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Student ID number:**  | <Pre-filled> |
| **Name of the PhD candidate:** | <Pre-filled> |
| **Doctoral School:** | <Pre-filled> |
| **Doctoral Programme:** | <Pre-filled> |
| **Date of start of PhD work contract:** | <Pre-filled> |
| **Date of PhD initial enrolment:**  | <Pre-filled> |
| **Main PhD supervisor:** | <Pre-filled> |
| **Additional PhD supervisor(s):** | <Pre-filled> |
| **Members of CET:**  | <Pre-filled> |
| **Date of CET meeting:** |  |

# Decision

|  |
| --- |
| **Decision of the CET:**[ ] The doctoral candidate may continue his/her work[ ] The doctoral candidate may continue his/her work but needs to take into account the specific remarks of the CET[ ] The work of the doctoral candidate is not satisfactory; he/she is no longer authorised to pursue his/her studies |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **For administration only:** |  |  |
| Ph.D. extension | Yes | No |
| Contract extension | Yes | No |

# Report

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation guidelines: |  |  |
| In their evaluation, CET members should consider the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate and the research project across the following areas: * *Mastery of the scientific and technical aspects of the research subject*
* *Knowledge of the literature relevant for the research project*
* *Clarity of defined and exposed research questions and objectives*
* *Ability to explain and defend research outcomes*
* *Presentation skills*
* *Analytical skills and interpretation of results*
* *Language proficiency*
* *Perspectives for the continuation of the research project*
* *Technical means to carry out the research project*
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Research project |
| Please assess in min. 4-5 sentences the progress of the research project and compliance with the project plan. Please comment on any difficulties encountered by the candidate.  |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Training** |
| Please assess in min. 4-5 sentences the progress in the PhD candidate’s training (disciplinary, interdisciplinary, and transferable skills; professional development). |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Dissemination to the scientific community and to the public** |
| Please comment on any scientific dissemination and other relevant activities of the candidate (NOTE: if applicable, the candidate may provide details of the following relevant activities: submitted or accepted publications, awards, applications for funding/grants, patent applications, other dissemination activities.) |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Strengths identified** |
| Please comment on particular strengths in the PhD candidate’s work (min. 4-5 sentences). |
| Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Weaknesses and future improvements** |
| Please comment on particular weaknesses in the PhD candidate’s work and provide advice on improvements to be made (min. 4-5 sentences). |
|  Click here to enter text. |

Date: [DATE]

Signature:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
| Doctoral candidate: [NAME]  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Primary academic supervisor: [NAME] , [DEPARTMENT/INSTITUTION]  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Additional supervisor:  [NAME] , [DEPARTMENT/INSTITUTION]  |  | Additional supervisor:  [NAME] , [DEPARTMENT/INSTITUTION]  |
|  |  |  |
| CET member:  [NAME] , [DEPARTMENT/INSTITUTION]  |  | CET member:  [NAME] , [DEPARTMENT/INSTITUTION]  |